

Review of an academic paper

Reviewer:

Affiliation:

Studia Translatorica, vol.

	Evaluation criteria	Evaluation Tick as appropriate					It is difficult to evaluate this.
		Evaluation tendency					
1.	Up-to-date character and practical relevance of the paper	--	-	o	+	++	
2.	Clear and unambiguous presentation of the subject and the objective of the paper	--	-	o	+	++	
3.	Coherent discussion of the subject matter, appropriate text structure, logical and consistent argumentation	--	-	o	+	++	
4.	Choice of a research method suitable for the subject matter and justification of this choice	--	-	o	+	++	
5.	Critical attitude towards choice and evaluation of sources	--	-	o	+	++	
6.	Critical contemplation of one's own results and assessment of possible future developments	--	-	o	+	++	
7.	Expected readers' interest in this paper	--	-	o	+	++	

	Evaluation criteria	Evaluation Tick as appropriate		
		Yes	No	It is difficult to evaluate this.
1.	Does the subject of the paper correspond to the theme of the volume?			
2.	Does the title of the paper correspond to its contents?			
3.	Is the terminology used in the paper appropriate?			
4.	Is the paper correctly edited?			
5.	Is there an abstract?			
6.	Are all external sources clearly marked by using correct and consistent citation technique?			

Remarks and recommendations, if any

Tick as appropriate:

I recommend the submitted paper for publication.	
I recommend the submitted paper for publication after necessary revisions have been made in accordance with remarks and recommendations stated in this review.	
I do not recommend the submitted paper for publication.	

Place, date

Signature